Working with Natural Processes – the evidence behind Natural Flood Management

Dr Lydia Burgess-Gamble – Principal Scientist, Environment Agency

LITERATURE REVIEW

65 CASE STUDIES





14 EVIDENCE THUMBPRINTS



RESEARCH GAPS AND MONITORING


LITERATURE REVIEW
65 CASE STUDIES
NFM POTENTIAL
NATURAL FLOOD MANAGEMENT
EVIDENCE DIRECTORY
EVIDENCE DIRECTORY
14 EVIDENCE THUMBPRINTS
RESEARCH GAPS AND MONITORING


Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs
Llywodraeth Cymru Welsh Government
Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru Natural Resources Wales
Environment Agency
SEPA
WOODLAND TRUST
DRAFT
Working with Natural Processes - Evidence Directory
SC150005
i


Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs
Llywodraeth Cymru Welsh Government
Cyfoeth Naturiol Cymru Natural Resources Wales
Environment Agency
Appendix 1. Working with Natural Processes - Evidence Directory Literature Review
SC150005
SC150005 – Working with Natural Processes Literature Review


Headwater drainage
Leaky barriers
Catchment woodland
Cross-slope woodland
Runoff pathways
Floodplain restoration
Riparian woodland
Offline storage
Soil and land management
River restoration
Salt marsh, mudflats and managed realignment
Floodplain woodland
Salt marsh, mudflats
Sand dunes
Beach management
HERE ARE THE 14 INTERVENTIONS WE CAN MAKE

The Evidence behind Working with Natural Processes to reduce flood and coastal erosion risk

What is it?

Working with Natural Processes to reduce flood and coastal erosion risk is about restoring and emulating the natural functions of catchments, floodplains, rivers and the coast (Environment Agency, 2012).

It is an approach which can be applied in urban and rural locations, on hill slopes, rivers, floodplain, estuaries and the coast.

It takes many different forms such as:

Rye Harbour Farm (source: Environment Agency)

Click on the text here to access 1 page summary for each measure



River Restoration

What is it?

Historically rivers have been modified for many reasons (e.g. navigation, development, flood risk management).

River restoration is the reinstatement of the natural physical processes and features (e.g. pools, riffles) that are characteristic of a river.

It can help reduce flood risk, by slowing the flow of water within the channel.

Mayes Brook river floodplain restoration post-construction (source: Environment Agency)

Case studies

- River Avon
- Dorset Frome
- Mayes Brook
- New Forest

Flood Risk Benefits

Summary

- Can slow flood flows and decrease conveyance through the reintroduction of features which encourages the river to reconnect with its floodplain where it can store water and attenuates peak flows d/s
- Can reduce flood risk, the extent of this effect depends on length of river restored relative to catchment size
- Once constructed should last forever, pace at which it becomes effective will vary between rivers, there can be delay whilst morphological adjustment occurs
- Should require limited maintenance

Catchment size	Flood magnitude	Modelled or observed?	Description
Medium	Small	Observed	In a 25 km² catchment in the New Forest Bear et al (2006) found river restoration led to a 21% reduction in flood peak and a 33% increase in peak travel (2year recurrence).
Small	Large	Modelled	Restoration reduced water velocities for a 1 in 100 year flood by 41% (Keesstra et al., 2012).
Local/ Small	Not provided	Modelled	Restoring reaches of 5-10 km can provide tangible attenuation of peak flows (Sholtes and Doyle, 2011).
Medium	Not provided	Modelled	Restoring 5km of the Cherwell's channel reduces peak flow by a 10-15% and increases peak floodplain water levels by 0.5-1.6m (Acreman et al., 2003).
Medium	Medium	Modelled	Restoring meanders in a 1km reach in a 17 km² catchment, reduced flood peaks by less than 1% for 2 to 50 year return period (Sholtes and Doyle, 2011).
Large	Not provided	Modelled	River restoration in headwaters of 400 km² catchment, reduced peak flow by 14% (Liu et al., 2004).

Multiple Benefits

Summary

- River restoration can provide a wide range of benefits across most ecosystem services (see benefits wheel).

For example:

- Regeneration benefits of improving the river and surrounding park at Mayes Brook was valued at £7.8 million over 100 years, based on the uplift to property prices (Everard et al., 2011).
- On the River Frome (Dorset) river restoration is expected to also help manage diffuse pollution, accumulating silt on the floodplain.

Benefits wheel



Monetary value estimate(s)

Case study	Benefits	Costs	BCR
Mayes Brook	£245k	£750k + approx. £5k pa	7:1

Source: Effec (2017)

River restoration benefits recreation and tourism, the estimated per person per trip value provided by rivers and floodplains is £3.35 (Sen et al., 2012).

Knowledge gaps

Gaps:

- Limited field-based evidence that demonstrate its flood risk benefit

More information needed on::

- Standard of flood protection provided by river restoration
- FCRM benefits of different types of river restoration at different spatial scales
- Conveyance capacity of restored rivers
- Water storage effects of restoration

Key reading and maps

Reading:

- Green approaches in river engineering
- Manual of River Restoration Techniques
- River restoration and biodiversity

Maps:

- Wetland vision
- Strategic National Opportunity Maps (England)
- NFM Opportunity Maps (Scotland)

Terms of reference

Terminology

Benefits wheels

For each measure we have summarised the multiple benefits which the measure could provide using a Benefits Wheel which covers 10 benefit indicators that have been ranked on a scale from 1 to 5 to give an indication of the relative contribution the measure can make to the provision of a certain benefit.

References

More details on the references and case studies discussed here can be found in the following documents:
SC192005 - Working with Natural Processes – Evidence Directory
SC192005 - Appendix 1. Evidence Directory Literature Review
SC192005 - 66 individual case studies


River Restoration
What is it?
Case studies
River Avon
Dorset Frome
Mayes Brook
New Forest
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Monetary value estimate(s)
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Floodplain Restoration
What is it?
Case studies
Chelmer
Eddleston
Glaven
Low Stranger
Mayes Brook
Mill Brook
Padgate Brook
St Austell
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Monetary value estimate(s)
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Leaky Barriers
What is it?
Case studies
Belford
Blackbrook
Bowmont
Devon Beavers
New Forest
Pickering
Shrout Fron
Tufts Beck
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Monetary value estimate(s)
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Offline Storage Areas
What is it?
Case studies
Beam washlands
Belford
Guisborough
Haweswater
Holmcote
Lustrum Beck
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Monetary value estimate(s)
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Catchment Woodland
What is it?
Case studies
Coalburne
Brackenhurst
Tome
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Monetary value estimate(s)
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Cross-Slope Woodland
What is it?
Case studies
Pontbren
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Floodplain Woodland
What is it?
Case studies
Carr
Great Tilley
Sussex Flow Initiative
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Monetary value estimate(s)
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Riparian Woodland
What is it?
Case studies
Pickering
Eddleston
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Monetary value estimate(s)
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Soil and Land
What is it?
Case studies
Hills to Levels
Loddington
Pontbren
Roe
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Headwater Drainage
What is it?
Case studies
Dumcrhan
Farm
Eycott Hill
Exmoor Mires
Hills to Levels
Moors for the Future
Pontbren
Roe
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Monetary value estimate(s)
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Runoff Pathways
What is it?
Case studies
Alde-Ore
Belford
Debenham
Eddleston
Eversholt
Hatherleigh
Loddington
Nant Bwrog
Trawden
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Salt Marsh and Mudflats
What is it?
Case studies
Abbotsugh
Fingringhoe
Hayeraft
Lavington
Medmerry
Nigg Bay
Rhymney
Rye Harbour
Sandwich
Wainringfield
Wyncle
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Sand Dunes
What is it?
Case studies
Hightown
South Milton Sands
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference


Beach Nourishment
What is it?
Case studies
Pagham
Porvellory
Poole Harbour
Sandscaping
Shoreham
Flood Risk Benefits
Summary
Multiple Benefits
Summary
Benefits wheel
Knowledge gaps
Key reading and maps
Terms of reference

Case study 6. Chelmer Valley Local Nature Reserve

Author: Trevor Bond

Main driver: Habitat improvement

Project stage: Completed spring 2016

Photo 1: River Chelmer, Chelmer Valley Local Nature Reserve (source: Chelmsford City Council)

Project summary:

The Chelmer Valley Local Nature Reserve (LNR) is a much loved open space situated to the north of Chelmsford city centre (Map 1). Approximately 2.5km long, the Chelmer Valley LNR consists of parkland, green spaces, unimproved grassland, ponds, wet margins, riparian woodland and the River Chelmer itself (Photo 1).

As part of this project, informal embankments created through years of dredging were lowered and the won material was used within the river to construct earth berms. This improved floodplain connectivity, created marginal habitat for plants and restricted the width of the active river channel, encouraging geomorphic processes. In addition, flood risk modelling of the scheme has shown flood risk benefits emerging from the project during particular flood frequencies.

Key facts:

Flood risk modelling indicated that the scheme would lead to a small, net decrease in lateral flood extent during both 10% and 1% annual exceedance probability (AEP) events. Modelling also suggests reduced flood depths of up to 0.3m in some locations during a 10% AEP event and reduced flood depths of 0.15m in some locations during a 1% AEP. The reduced flood risk is believed to be due to the improved connectivity between the main river channel and the floodplain, which means water evacuates onto the floodplain earlier and the flood peak is marginally reduced.

Case study 11. Low Stanger Floodplain Reconnection Project

Author: Ian Creighton

Main driver: Flood alleviation

Project stage: Completed 2015

Photo 1: Downstream breach, Low Stranger Farm (source: West Cumbria Rivers Trust)

Project summary:

There have been significant flooding issues in the town of Cockermouth in recent years. A new flood defence scheme was constructed in 2014, which was overtopped by Storm Desmond in December 2015. There is no single solution and it will need multiple and varied solutions working with landowners to help flatten the flood peak in order to reduce future flood risk. At Low Stanger Farm (see Map 1), the existing flood embankment was breached along 4 sections to increase flood storage when the River Cocker is out of channel (Photo 1).

Key fact:

Survived Storm Desmond intact! An additional flood storage area of 5ha was created.

Case study 12. Slowing the Flow at Pickering

Authors: Tom Nisbet, Huw Thomas, Philip Roe

Main driver: Flood risk management

Project stage: Multi-objective, long-term, demonstration study

Photo 1: Woody dams upstream of Pickering (source: Forest Research)

Project summary:

The project was established in April 2009 to look at how changes in land use and land management can help to reduce flood risk for the town of Pickering in North Yorkshire (Map 1). It was 1 of 3 pilot projects funded by Defra in response to Sir Michael Pitt's Review of the 2007 floods in England and Wales and his call for greater working with natural processes. The project's overall aim is to demonstrate how the integrated application of a range of land management interventions/measures can help reduce flood risk at the catchment scale, as well as providing wider multiple benefits for local communities. A strong local partnership was formed, which put in place an agreed set of measures designed to reduce the chance of flooding in the town from 25% to 4% or less in any given year. Initial results have been very positive and work continues to evaluate the effectiveness of the measures in reducing flood risk.

Key facts:

An analysis of flow measurements from the Boxing Day 2015 storm event, when 50mm of rain fell over a 36-hour period, concluded with a relatively high degree of certainty that the project measures prevented flooding to a small number of properties in the town. It was estimated that the measures reduced the flood peak by 15–20%, with around half of the reduction due to the upstream land management interventions and half due to the large flood storage bund. The results are consistent with other observations that show the measures to be working as expected in reducing flood generation by storing and slowing flood waters within the catchment.

Case study 16. Belford Natural Flood Management Scheme, Northumberland

Authors: Alex Nicholson (Arup), Paul Quinn (Newcastle University), Mark Wilkinson (James Hutton Institute)

Main driver: Flood risk management – repeated flooding in the community of Belford

Project stage: Completed 2015

Photo 1: Belford Natural Flood Management project with pictures of some of its interventions (source: Newcastle University)

Project summary:

The Belford Burn is a small stream that runs through the centre of Belford village, hard up against garden boundaries and walls. The 6km² catchment is predominantly rural upstream of the village and is privately owned by 3 main landowners. Prior to the scheme, the burn presented a risk of flooding to 54 properties and a caravan park from a 1 in 100 year event. However, 25 properties were at risk from a 1 in 2 year event.

Belford village flooded 10 times between 1997 and 2007. The flood in 1997, which inundated the East Coast mainline railway, is estimated to have a return period of between 10 and 20 years. Traditional flood defences were not adopted owing to a lack of space between properties and the watercourse, and an unfavourable cost–benefit assessment at the project appraisal phase.

Case study 17. Blackbrook Slow the Flow, St Helens

Authors: Mike Norbury, Rick Rogers, David Brown

Main driver: Flood risk management – repeated flooding in the Blackbrook area of St Helens (October 2000, September 2012 and 26 December 2016)

Project stage: Seeking funding opportunities to implement a catchment-scale Natural Flood Management Plan

Photo1: Engineered dam 2 – attenuation and suspended sediment settlement during flood flows

Project summary:

Blackbrook in St Helens, Merseyside, experiences repeat flooding from a combination of main river and surface water sources. There are 18 properties at flood risk, 3 of which are businesses; a major truck A-road is also at risk. The current flood risk is high.

Blackbrook has a 5% chance of flooding in any given year and sits in a low-lying bowl at the confluence of 5 rapid response catchments whose upstream area is 21km². The property level protection put in place has had limited success, partly due to a failure in its operation at the time of the last flood (26 December 2016). Flooding also occurred on 28–29 October 2000 and 24–26 September 2012.

Capital solutions to reduce the flood risk are prohibitively expensive, as culvert enlarging would be required to reduce the flow constriction. Such considerable capital interventions do not qualify for full funding under HM Treasury rules on cost–benefitratios. Significant additional funding would therefore be required.

Case study 21. Lustrum Beck Flood Alleviation Scheme: Phase 2

Authors: Joe Reed, Ted Thomas

Main driver: Flood risk management

Project stage: Detailed design

Photo 1: Flooding event, September 2012

Project summary:

The Lustrum Beck catchment (Map 1) is located in Stockton-on-Tees and is a tributary of the Tees. It has been identified through an ISIS-TUFLOW model that over 150 properties are at risk of flooding in the catchment within 2 main areas: Oxbridge and Browns Bridge. For these sites, the Lustrum Beck Flood Alleviation Scheme (FAS) has been split into 2 phases. Phase 1 is well underway and consists of constructing more traditional flood defences in the urban area of the catchment. Phase 2 is currently in the development stage and will involve storing water at a range of scales in the upstream catchment area using natural processes to attenuate water. This case study focuses on Phase 2 in the Lustrum Beck catchment and how natural processes are being incorporated into the scheme to reduce downstream risk.

Case study 47. North Norfolk Coast

Authors: Sue Rees and Oli Burns

Main driver: Habitat creation, improved and more sustainable defences

Project stage: Constructed – several schemes in different years: Brancaster 2002; Holme Dunes 2004; River Glaven 2006; Cley to Salthouse 2007; Titchwell RSPB 2011 (Photo 1); Blakeney Freshes 2014

Photo 1. Titchwell (source: Mike Page RSPB)

Case study 50. Medmerry Managed Realignment

Author: Robert Harvey

Main driver: Improved defences and habitat creation

Project stage: Completed 2013

Photo 1: Medmerry managed coastal realignment site, 10 October 2013 (source: © Environment Agency and John Akerman ABPmer)

Project summary:

The Medmerry Managed Realignment scheme in West Sussex (Photo 1) was identified in the Pagham to East Head Coastal Strategy (2009). The project came about through a combination of the need to improve flood risk management and the requirement of the Environment Agency's Regional Habitat Creation Programme to create intertidal habitat. The Environment Agency purchased most of the land required for the project and constructed 6.2km of new retreated sea defences, tied into the existing shoreline with rock revetments. Additional land was contributed by RSPB.

The project provides a 1 in 100 year standard of defence in year 100 (increased from 1 in 1 year standard prior to implementation) to 348 properties, the road serving Selsey and a waste water treatment works. It has created 183ha of intertidal habitat and 80ha of transitional grassland. Mitigation was also provided for 50ha of freshwater Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) within and around the realignment area. The project has increased recreation and tourism, creating new amenity and providing both new and replacement footpaths, cycleways and bridleways. Most of the land within the project area has been leased by the Environment Agency to RSPB for management as a nature reserve.

LITERATURE REVIEW

65 CASE STUDIES





14 EVIDENCE THUMBPRINTS



RESEARCH GAPS AND MONITORING


RUNOFF ATTENUATION + GULLY BLOCKING
RIPARIAN WOODLAND
FLOODPLAIN WOODLAND
CATCHMENT WOODLAND
FLOODPLAIN RECONNECTION




Working With Natural Processes
Opportunity Mapping
Framfield
Environment Agency


Test WWNP Maps of new layers
Legend
DRAFT DONOTUSE WWNP Mapping WFD River Waterbody Catchments Cycle2
Draft DONOTUSE WWNP Mapping Runoff Attenuation Features (30 years)
Draft DONOTUSE WWNP Mapping Runoff Attenuation Features (100 years)
DRAFT DONOTUSE WWNP Mapping Floodplain Restoration Opportunities
DRAFT DONOTUSE WWNP Mapping Floodplain Treeplanting Opportunities
DRAFT DONOTUSE WWNP Mapping Rev2 Riparian Woodland Opps
Draft DONOTUSE WWNP Mapping Wider Catchment Tree Planting Opportunities
DRAFT DONOTUSE WWNP Mapping WFD Management Catchments Cycle1


LITERATURE REVIEW
65 CASE STUDIES
NFM POTENTIAL
NATURAL FLOOD MANAGEMENT
EVIDENCE DIRECTORY
EVIDENCE DIRECTORY
14 EVIDENCE THUMBPRINTS
RESEARCH GAPS AND MONITORING

NERC NFM PROGRAMME AWARD DECISION SEPTEMBER

NERC

THESE ARE OUR EVIDENCE GAPS



AND HERE'S OUR MONITORING GUIDANCE


CIWEM LAUNCH
LONDON, 31 OCTOBER
LEEDS, 1 DECEMBER
CARDIFF, TBC 2018
AREA ROADSHOWS
EARLY 2018